EXTENT OF THE ACREAGE OF THE TANK BED OF SHANTISAGARA.

*Q.—443. Sri D. T. SEETHARAMA RAO (Bhadravati).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) the extent of acreage of the tank bed of Shantisagara in which water could be stored ;

(*b*) the atchkat under the tank ;

(*c*) the purpose for which the tank is retained in view of the fact that the right bank channel of Bhadra is flowing at a higher level than the tank-bund ?

A.— Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) 6,400 acres.

		Present Atchkat :
(*b*)	Siddana Nala	2,202 acres
	Basavana Nala	904 ,,
	Total ...	3,106 ,,

(*c*) The tank's atchkat is not included under the Bhadra Project and so the tank has to be retained to supply water to its atchkat.

APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC PROSECUTOR OF BELLARY SESSIONS COURT

*Q.—446. Sri M. DANAPPA (Harapanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) when the term of office of the present public prosecutor of Bellary Sessions Court expired ;

(*b*) the reasons for not appointing a new person even after a month after the term of the previous Public Prosecutor expired ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the present Public Prosecutor, Sri K. Rama Rao has served in the said office for two terms covering a period of full six years ;

(*d*) whether the Government called for a panel of three names to be recommended by the District Magistrate and the Sessions Judge ;

(*e*) if so, whether they have submitted a panel of three names ; and if so, who are the three persons so recommended by them;

(*f*) whether it is a fact that a person is appointed only for two terms ?

A.— Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Law and Labour).—

(*a*) 30-1-1961.

(*b*) The matter is under consideration.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes ; names recommended cannot be disclosed, as they are confidential nature.

(*f*) Normally.

Member's Representation

†Sri M.C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, yesterday we raised an issue regarding the incident that took place four days back in this House when one of the Members of the House presided when he forcibly siezed the chair. (*Laughter*). Actually he occupied the Chair when he had no right to do so, and when it was against the rules of this House and against the Constitution. This Assembly could not therefore be said to have been properly constituted during that period. The Speaker was pleased to observe that he will look into the whole question and try to find out if any action should be taken, probably against Sri L. S. Venkaji Rao for what he did or what should be done in respect of the whole proceedings. If the proceedings are going to be treated as *null* and *void*, it stands to reason that those members —particular Sri Shivappa was pleased to attack us and we would like to hear him again—should be heard again. An opportunity should be given to them for the purpose of record. Otherwise there will no record. An immediate decision in necessary on this matter. Supposing the Chair ask the Ministers to reply the whole debate would have been wound up and those members would find no place in the records. They have a right to make observations. I therefore request that you do not call upon the Ministers to reply.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Hon'ble Speaker has heard all agruments and he has reserved his decision. He will have to give his decision first.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Before a decision is given by the Speaker, we would like to make ourselves sure whether those speeches are going to be treated as cancelled, whether they will find a place in the records and if they do not find a place, what is the effect of those speeches and whether the Ministers are going to refer to their speeches. If they do so, I would object.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—What the Speaker is going to say by way of a ruling would cover all the points raised.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—If the Speaker gives a ruling tomorrow when the Ministers have replied, what is the use.